

BEFORE THE VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

First Floor 33/11 kV Substation, Hyderabad Boat Club Lane Lumbini Park, Hyderabad - 500 063

PRESENT : SRI MOHAMMAD NIZAMUDDIN VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN

WEDNESDAY THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF MARCH TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

CMP No. 14 of 2022-23

in

Appeal No. 51 of 2021-22

Between

Sri Habeebullah Khan, Sy No.62, M.D.Pally, Gaganpahad, Hyderabad - 500 077. Cell: 9848185853 & 7036205211.

.....Petitioner / Appellant

AND

- 1. The Assistant Engineer / Operation / M.D.Pally / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
- 2. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Gaganpahad / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
- 3. The Assistant Accounts Officer / ERO / Gaganpahad / TSSPDCL/ Hyderabad.
- 4. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
- 5. The Superintending Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.

..... Respondents / Respondents

This appeal is coming on before me for final hearing on 27.03.2023 in the presence of Sri Ravider Prasad Srivastav, authorised representative of the petitioner and Sri K. Eshwar Prasad - ADE/OP/Gaganpahad and Sri M.Ravinder - JAO/ERO/Gaganpahad representing the respondents and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Vidyut Ombudsman passed the following:

<u>ORDER</u>

This petition is filed seeking compensation under Clause 3.42 of Regulation 3 of 2015 of Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (in short 'the Regulation'), on the ground that the respondents have not implemented the Award passed by this Authority in Appeal no 51 of 2021-22, dated 05.12.2022.

2. This petition is filed stating that though this Authority allowed Appeal no. 51 of 2021-22 directing the respondents to revise the Final Assessment taking into account the Rupee 1/- rebate applicable for off-peak hours as per the corresponding tariff orders from the financial year 2016-17, the said benefit was not extended. Therefore it is prayed to Award compensation to the petitioner as per Clause 3.42 of the Regulation.

3. In the reply filed by respondent No.5, it is submitted that the Final Assessment Order was revised as directed by this Authority. A copy of calculation in that regard is also filled.

- 4. Heard both sides.
- 5. The point for consideration is,

whether the respondents are liable to pay compensation to the petitioner as prayed for?

POINT

6. Initially the respondents did not give the benefit as per the Award passed by this Authority. However during the course of hearing of the present petition the Award was implemented as directed and necessary benefit was extended to the petitioner. The said benefit is also accepted by the authorised representative of the petitioner. In view of these factors, I hold that the petition is liable to be closed.

7. In the result, since the Award is implemented this petition is closed.

A copy of this Award is made available at https://vidyutombudsman-tserc.gov.in.

Typed to my dictation by Private Secretary and Office Executive Computer Operator, corrected and pronounced by me on this the 29th day of March 2023.

Vidyut Ombudsman

- 1. M/s. Sri Habeebullah Khan, Sy No.62, M.D.Pally, Gaganpahad, Hyderabad 500 077. Cell: 9848185853 & 7036205211.
- 2. The Assistant Engineer / Operation / M.D.Pally / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
- 3. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Gaganpahad / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
- 4. The Assistant Accounts Officer / ERO / Gaganpahad / TSSPDCL/ Hyderabad.

- 5. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
- 6. The Superintending Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.

