



BEFORE THE VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

First Floor 33/11 kV Substation, Beside Hyderabad Boat Club
Lumbini Park, Hyderabad - 500 063

**PRESENT : SRI MOHAMMAD NIZAMUDDIN
VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN**

WEDNESDAY THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

C.M.P. No. 13 of 2022-23

in

Appeal No. 52 of 2021-22

Between

M/s. Nikhat Plastic Moulding Works, Sy No.60/A, M.D.Pally, Gaganpahad,
Hyderabad - 500 077, represented by Sri MD.Hussain. Cell: 9848185853 &
7036205211.

.....Petitioner / Appellant

AND

1. The Assistant Engineer / Operation / Mylardevpally / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
2. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Gaganpahad / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
3. The Assistant Accounts Officer / ERO / Gaganpahad / TSSPDCL/ Hyderabad.
4. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
5. The Superintending Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.

..... Respondents / Respondents

This petition is coming on before me for final hearing on 27.03.2023 in the presence of Sri Ravinder Prasad Srivatsava - representative of the petitioner and Sri M. Ravinder - JAO, Sri K. Eshwara Prasad - ADE/OP/Gaganpahad representing the respondents and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Vidhyut Ombudsman passed the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking compensation under Clause 3.42 of Regulation 3 of 2015 of Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (in short 'the Regulation'), on the ground that the respondents have not implemented the Award passed by this Authority in Appeal no 52 of 2021-22, dated 08.12.2022.

2. This petition is filed stating that though this Authority allowed Appeal no. 52 of 2021-22 directing the respondents to revise the Final Assessment taking into account the Rupee 1/- rebate applicable for off-peak hours as per the corresponding tariff orders from the financial year 2016-17, the said benefit was not extended. Therefore it is prayed to Award compensation to the petitioner as per Clause 3.42 of the Regulation.

3. In the reply filed by respondent No.5, it is submitted that the Final Assessment Order was revised as directed by this Authority. A copy of calculation in that regard is also filled.

4. Heard both sides.

5. The point for consideration is,

whether the respondents are liable to pay compensation to the petitioner as prayed for?

POINT

6. Initially the respondents did not give the benefit as per the Award passed by this Authority. However during the course of hearing of the present petition the Award was implemented as directed and necessary benefit was extended to the petitioner. The said benefit is also accepted by the authorised representative of the petitioner. In view of these factors, I hold that the petition is liable to be closed.

7. In the result, since the Award is implemented this petition is closed.

A copy of this Award is made available at <https://vidyutombudsman-tserc.gov.in>.

Typed to my dictation by Private Secretary and Office Executive cum Computer Operator, corrected and pronounced by me on this the 29th day of March 2023.

Vidyut Ombudsman

1. M/s. Nikhat Plastic Moulding Works, Sy No.60/A, M.D.Pally, Gaganpahad, Hyderabad - 500 077, represented by Sri MD.Hussain, Cell: 9848185853 & 7036205211.
2. The Assistant Engineer / Operation / Mylardevpally / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
3. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Gaganpahad / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
4. The Assistant Accounts Officer / ERO / Gaganpahad / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.

5. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
6. The Superintending Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.

